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## Executive Summary

As one of the world's top computer science institutions, the University of Maryland continues to grow. There is no longer enough room in the existing facilities to keep up with the latest advancements in virtual reality. The Brendan Iribe Center for Computer Science and Innovation will help separate the University of Maryland from its competitors.

Six stories of collaborative classrooms, research labs, seminar rooms, offices, and many common areas will welcome students and faculty alike. A 300-seat auditorium will provide the University of Maryland an opportunity to showcase its latest research such as cybersecurity, computational biology, and quantum computing. The open floor plans will help promote collaborating amongst peers, and ultimately set these students up for successful careers.

Structurally, the Brendan Iribe Center for Computer Science and Innovation utilizes steel wide flange girders and columns to support gravity loads. The curvilinear shape of the building results in unequal bays as infill beams change as the shape of the building changes. Due to the irregular shape, there are several unique components of this system such as curved HSS beams along the southern wall. The 300- seat Antonov Auditorium utilizes wide flange girders and columns, as well as a 90 ' truss to support the different levels and roof.

From a lateral standpoint, the Brendan Iribe Center for Computer Science and Innovation uses ordinary moment frames and vertical trusses throughout each wing of the building and the auditorium. All loads are in accordance with the 2015 International Building Code and ASCE 710.

This report will provide gravity and lateral calculations which will be used for further analysis of the building.
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## 1.General Information

### 1.1 Site Plan

The Brendan Iribe Center for Computer Science and Innovation is located at the eastern part of campus at the intersection of Baltimore Pike and Campus Drive.


Figure 1: Site Plan

### 1.2 Documents used in Preparation of Report

The following is a list of codes, standards, and other references that were used for calculations throughout this report.

- Brendan Iribe Center for Computer Science and Innovation - Structural Drawings
- International Code Council
- 2015 International Building Code
- American Society of Civil Engineers
- ASCE 7-10: Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures


## 2.Gravity Loads

2.1 Roof Loads

See Appendix A to view bay used in determination of gravity loads
Brenton Barrett Gravity Laos
Roof Loads
Main Tower (Area A + B) Ground Floor to $6^{\text {th }}$ Floor Grovel
Filter Fabric
Presume beard with root black
High Density Rigid Insulation Root Block
protective membrane

$3^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{NW}$ concrete on $3^{\prime \prime} 20 \mathrm{GA}$ metal reck

Dead Loads
Gravel $=6$ PSF
Filter Fabre $=$ Negligible
Drainage Guard with root block: 3 PSF
6" High Dasity Rigid Insulation $=0.75$ per $\mathrm{V}_{3}{ }^{\prime \prime}=9 \mathrm{PSF}$
Root Block $=2$ PSF
Protective Mentions $=1$ PSF
Hos resized asphalt membrane system $=1$ PSF
Primer $=1$ PSF
Roof Deck $=65$ PSF

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M / E / C / L=10 \text { PSF } \\
& \text { soil (Green roof) }=40 \text { PSF } \\
& \text { Fran }=84 \operatorname{PLF}\left(40.67^{\prime}\right)+33 \operatorname{PLF}\left(40^{\circ}\right)+68 \operatorname{PLF}\left(39.75^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad+76 \operatorname{PLF}\left(39.5^{\prime}\right)+84 \operatorname{PLF}\left(39.75^{\prime}\right)+90 \text { PLF }\left(39.75^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad+99 \operatorname{PLF}\left(38^{\prime}\right)=2112016 / 15865 F=16 \mathrm{PSF} \\
& \text { Total Decd }=154 \mathrm{PSF} \\
& \text { Live Load) } \\
& L_{R}=30 \text { PSF } \quad * \text { Minimum } L_{R} \text { is } 20 \text { PSF }
\end{aligned}
$$


2.2 Snow Loads


Drift at rooftop garden:

- Leeward drift $\rightarrow \ell_{v}=265^{\circ}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{d}=0.43 \sqrt[3]{\ell_{v}} \sqrt[4]{p_{g}+10}-1.5 \\
& =0.43 \sqrt[3]{265} \sqrt[4]{35+10}-1.5 \\
& =5.66 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \gamma=0.13 \mathrm{pg}+14 \\
& =0.13(35)+14 \\
& =18.6 \mathrm{pit} \\
& h_{b}=24.26 \mathrm{psf} / 18.6 \quad \alpha f=1.3^{\prime} \Rightarrow \text { flat root height } \\
& h_{c}=10^{\circ}-1.3^{\prime}=8.7^{1} \quad \frac{h_{c}}{h_{0}}=\frac{8.7}{1.3}=6.7>02 \therefore \text { adrift } \\
& h_{d}<h_{c} \rightarrow w=4 h_{d}=4(5.66)=22.64^{\prime} \\
& p d^{\prime}=\text { ho } \gamma \\
& =5.66(18.6) \\
& =105.3 \mathrm{PSF}
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | Bradon Barrett Gravity Lowor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Drist from tower onto quoitoriom: <br> Leeward drift $\rightarrow l v=58^{\circ}$ $\begin{aligned} & h_{0}=0.43 \sqrt[3]{58} \sqrt[4]{35+10}-1.5 \\ &=2.81 \mathrm{ft} . \\ & \gamma=18.6 \mathrm{pcf} \\ & h_{b}=1.3^{\circ} \\ & h_{c}=68^{\prime}-1.3^{\prime}=66.7^{\prime} \\ & h_{d}<h_{c} \rightarrow \omega=4 h_{d}=4(2.81)=11.24^{\prime} \\ & p_{d}=h_{\partial} \gamma \\ &=(2.81)(18.6) \\ &=52.3 \mathrm{PSF} \\ & 24.26 \mathrm{PFF} \\ & 58^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |

2.3 Floor Loads

2.4 Perimeter Loads


2.5 Non-Typical Loads


## 3. Wind Loads

See Appendix B for determination of wind load direction


Branden Boverett Wind hoods
Step 4: Velocity Pressure Exposure (officiant (Table 27.3-1)

$$
k_{2} \text { at } h=118.67^{\circ}
$$

Height Exposure B $K_{2}$

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
100 & 0.99 \\
118.67 & 1.037 \\
120 & 1.04
\end{array}
$$

Step 5: Velocity Pressure (Eau 27.3-1)

| Story | Height z (ft) | Story Height (ft) | Kz | Md | Kzt | qz (psf) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ground | 0 | 25.5 | 0.57 | 0.85 | 1 | 17.9 |
| 1 | 25.5 | 14.67 | 0.664 | 0.85 | 1 | 20.8 |
| 2 | 40.17 | 14.67 | 0.76085 | 0.85 | 1 | 23.8 |
| 3 | 54.84 | 14.67 | 0.82936 | 0.85 | 1 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 0}$ |
| 4 | 69.51 | 14.67 | 0.88804 | 0.85 | 1 | 27.8 |
| 5 | 84.18 | 14.67 | 0.94254 | 0.85 | 1 | 29.5 |
| Penthouse | 98.85 | 19.83 | 0.98655 | 0.85 | 1 | 30.9 |
| Roof | 118.67 |  | 1.036675 | 0.85 | 1 | 32.5 |

Step 6: External Pressure Coefficient
wall Pressure Cofficion's:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{\rho} \text { winwart }=0.8 \\
& L / B=245 / 380=0.65>0 \rightarrow \text { Cplecwerd }=-0.5 \\
& L_{1} \rightarrow \\
& C_{p r i d e w a l l}=-0.7
\end{aligned}
$$

Broom Barest Wind hoods
Roof Pressure coefficients

$$
h / L=0.48
$$

$$
0 \text { to } h / 2 \rightarrow 0-59.3^{1} \rightarrow c_{p}=-0.9
$$

$$
h / 2 \text { to } h \rightarrow 59.3^{\prime}-118.67^{\prime} \rightarrow c p=-0.9
$$

$h$ to $2 h \rightarrow 118.67^{\circ}-237.34^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{p}=-0.5$ $>2 n \rightarrow 23734^{\circ} \rightarrow C_{p}=-0.3$

Step 7: Wind Pressure
Noil- South Direction $L=245^{\circ} \quad B=380^{\circ}$

$$
p=q_{2} G_{f} C_{p}
$$



East-west Direction $L=380^{\circ} \quad B=245^{\circ}$

* some calculations as $\mathrm{N}^{-5}$ directer expat $G_{f}=0.88$




## 4. Seismic Loads

Brenton Barrett Seismic Louis
Seismic Load r
Structure Non-exempt (Section 111.2) Site Class D
(sheet 5-001)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lll}
S_{S}=0.119 \mathrm{~g} & S_{M S}=0.190 \mathrm{~g} & S_{D S}=0.127 \mathrm{~g} \\
S_{1}=0.051 \mathrm{~g} & S_{M_{1}}=0.122 \mathrm{~g} & S_{D 1}=0.081 \mathrm{~g}
\end{array}\right\} \text { USGS }
$$

Seismic Design category $B$ (Section 11.6) Equivalent Lateral Face Analysis Permitted (section 12.6) Ordinary Braced Frame $\rightarrow R=3 \quad(B-12) \quad$ Frame $\rightarrow R=31 / 2(C-4)\}$ table $12.2-1$ Ordinary moat Frame $\rightarrow R=31 / 2(C-4)$
$\therefore$ use smaller $R$ Valve $\rightarrow R=3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{0}=2 \\
& C_{d}=3
\end{aligned}
$$

Seismic Importance Factor $=1.25$ (Table 1.5-2) Risk category III

Fundanatal Period

$$
T_{a}=c_{1} h_{n}{ }^{x}
$$

where $c_{+}=0.02$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=0.75 \\
& h_{n}=139^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{a}=0.02(139)^{0.75}=0.815 \\
& T_{L}=8 \mathrm{sec} \quad(\text { Figure 22-12) }
\end{aligned}
$$




## 5.Typical Member Spot Checks for Gravity Loads

The following section analyzes the existing gravity system of the Brendan Iribe Center for Computer Science and Innovation. The existing system is composite steel framing with $31 / 4$ " lightweight concrete on 3 " 20 gage metal deck. The bay that was chosen to be analyzed is highlighted in Figure 2 below and was selected as it represents a fairly standard size bay throughout the building. The columns circled below represent the interior and exterior columns that are analyzed. Note that the Dead Load for a typical floor from Notebook Submission A has been reduced from 73 PSF to 68 PSF as the framing allowance was reduced from 15 PSF to 10 PSF.


Figure 2: Bay used in analysis

Broom Barrett Existing Framing
Composite Steel Framing


Floor System: $3^{\prime \prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ LW concrete on $3^{\prime \prime} 20$ GA composite metal $\operatorname{deck}\left(6^{1 / 4 " t o t a l)}\right.$


Loving = Dead = 68 PSF
Live $=100$ PS

Metal Deck Check
3VLI 20 with $3^{\text {ry" LW e }}$
3 spar unshared clear span $=13^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}>10^{\prime} \therefore$ ok superimposed live 10400100 PSF
supermpserev LL @ $10^{\prime}$ clear span = 149 PSF $>100$ PSF

$$
\therefore O K
$$

Brendan Buret Existing Framing
W $12 \times 19$ (16) Infill Bean Cheek
Live Load Reduction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{L L} A_{T}=\left(10^{1}+10^{\prime}\right)\left(21^{\prime}\right)=420 \mathrm{ft}^{2}>400 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
& \begin{array}{l|l}
L_{0}=100 \times & 0.5 \\
\max & 0.25+\frac{15}{\sqrt{420}}=0.982=98.2 \text { PSF }
\end{array} \\
& W_{v}=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
1.4(68)=95.2 \mathrm{PSF} \\
1.2(68)+1.6(98.2)=238.7 \mathrm{PSF}
\end{array}\right. \\
& W_{0}=238.7 \operatorname{PSF}\left(10^{\circ}\right)=2387 \operatorname{PLF} \\
& M u_{u}=2387(21)^{2} / 8=131.6^{1 \mathrm{~K}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Check composite strength

$$
\text { Def }=\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 21(12) / 4=63=\text { contras } \\
& 10(12)=120
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Check shear stud capacity

$$
n=16 \quad \sum \quad Q_{n}=\frac{16}{2} \times 17.2=137.6 \mathrm{k}
$$

From table 3-21

$$
\text { Deck } \perp
$$

weak studs position

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { As } F_{y}=\left(5.57 \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)(50 \mathrm{ksi})=278.5 \mathrm{k} \\
& 0.85 \mathrm{f}^{\prime}\left(\text { Def } t=0.85(3.5 \mathrm{ksi})(63 \mathrm{in})(6.25 \mathrm{in})=1171.4^{\mathrm{k}}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since AsFy $\quad \therefore \Sigma Q_{n} \therefore$ Partially, composite

Brenda Barrett Existing Framing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{A_{s} F_{y}-\varepsilon Q_{n}}{2 b_{f} F_{y}}=\frac{278.5-137.6}{2(4)(50)}=0.352^{\prime \prime}>0.35^{\prime \prime} \\
& \therefore N A \text { is in wet } \\
& a=\frac{137.6}{0.85(3.5)(63)}=0.734^{\prime \prime} \Rightarrow y_{2}=6.25-\frac{0.734}{2}=5.88^{\prime \prime} \\
& \phi M_{n}=0.9\left[137.6\left(5.88^{\prime \prime}\right)+278.5\left(\frac{12.2}{2}\right)-2(50)(4)(0.352)\left(\frac{0.352}{2}\right)\right. \\
& \phi M_{n}=186.2^{1 \mathrm{k}}>M_{u}=131.6^{1 \mathrm{k}} \therefore \text { OK }
\end{aligned}
$$

check unshoeld Strength

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{v}=1.4(48)\left(10^{\circ}\right)+1.4(19)=0.6986 \mathrm{kIf} \\
& w_{v}=1.2(48(10)+19)+1.6(20)\left(10^{\prime}\right)=0.9188 \mathrm{kIf}
\end{aligned}
$$ construction LL

$$
M=\frac{0.9188(21)^{2}}{8}=50.6^{1 \mathrm{k}}
$$

$O M \cap$ (Table $3-2)=92.6^{1 k}>50.6^{1 k} \therefore$ ok for unshorn stragth

Wet concrete deflection

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{w c}=48(10)+19=0.499 \mathrm{klf} \\
& I_{x}=130 \mathrm{~m}^{4} \\
& \Delta_{w c}=\frac{5(0.499)\left(21^{4}(1728)\right.}{384(29000)(130)}=0.58^{\prime \prime}<\frac{l}{360}=\frac{21(12)}{360}=0.7^{\prime \prime} \\
& \quad \therefore 0 \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned}
$$

Brandon Barrett Existing Framing
Live Loud Deflection

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{\text {ul }}=98.2(10)=0.982 \mathrm{klf} \\
& I_{\text {Ls }} \\
& \begin{array}{l|lll} 
& 5.5 & 5.88 & 6 \\
\hline & 0.35 & 378 & 381.52 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{L B} \approx 381.5 \otimes>2=5.88 \quad \xi^{1} \sum Q_{n}=137.6^{\mathrm{k}} \\
\Delta_{L L}=\frac{5(0.982)(21)^{4}(1728)}{384(29000)(381.5)}=0.39^{11}<\frac{\ell}{360}=0.7^{11} \\
\therefore 0 \mathrm{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\Rightarrow W 12 \times 19(16)$ Infill Beam is oK

Brevon Burrett Existing Frumng
$\underline{w} 21 \times 50$ (30) Girue Cherk
Live Lood Reauction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{L L} A_{T}=1148 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
& L_{O}=100 \times \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
0.5 \\
L_{V}=1.25+\frac{15}{\sqrt{1198}}=0.693=69.3 \mathrm{PSF} \\
\quad 1.2(68)+1.6(69.3)=192.5
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

point Loods from Infill Beans

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=192.5\left(10^{\circ}\right)\left(\frac{21^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}\right)+192.5\left(10^{1}\right)\left(\frac{19}{2}\right)=38.5^{\mathrm{k}} \\
& M v=P a=38.5^{\mathrm{k}}\left(10^{\circ}\right)=385^{1 \mathrm{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Check composite streagth

$$
\text { beff }=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l|l}
\frac{19(12)}{2}=14 \\
\frac{30(12)}{8}=45 & \frac{30(12)}{8}=45 \\
\frac{21(12)}{2}=126
\end{array}=90 \mathrm{in} .\right.
$$

Check shear stud caparity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=30 \Longrightarrow \sum Q_{n}=\frac{30}{2}+17.2=258^{k} \\
& \text { Arfy }=\left(14.7 \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)(50 \mathrm{kFi})=735^{k} \\
& 0.85 f^{\prime} \text { cbefft }=0.85(3.5 \mathrm{ksi})\left(90^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(6.25^{\prime \prime}\right)=1673^{k}>\sum Q_{n}=258^{k} \\
& \therefore \text { Porvillly } \\
& \text { compisite }
\end{aligned}
$$

Brendan Barrett Existing, Framing,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{A_{s} F_{y}-\sum Q_{n}}{2 b_{f} F_{y}}=\frac{735-258}{2(6.53)(50)}=0.73>t_{f}=0.535^{\prime \prime} \\
& \left.a=\frac{258}{0.85(3.5)(901}=0.96^{\prime \prime}=>y 2=6.25-\frac{0.96}{2}=5.77^{\prime \prime}\right]^{\text {web }} \\
& \varphi M_{n}=0.9\left[258\left(5.77^{\prime \prime}\right)+735\left(\frac{20.8}{2}\right)-2(50)(6.53)(0.73)\left(\frac{0.73}{2}\right)\right] \\
& \emptyset M_{n}=671.9^{1 k} \geq M_{v}=385^{1 k} \therefore O K
\end{aligned}
$$

Check unshared Strength

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{v}=1.4(48)\left(\frac{21}{2}+\frac{19}{2}\right)+1.4(50)=1.414 \mathrm{kl1f} \\
& w_{v}=1.2\left(48\left(\frac{21}{2}+\frac{19}{2}\right)+50\right)+1.6(20)\left(\frac{21}{2}+\frac{19}{2}\right)=1.852 \mathrm{klf} \\
& w_{v}=\frac{1.852(30)^{2}}{8}=208.3^{1 \mathrm{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\theta M_{1}($ Table $3-2)=413^{1 k}>208.3^{\text {k }} \therefore$ ok for unshored street

Wet concrete deflection

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{\text {we }}=48\left(\frac{21+19}{2}\right)+50=1.010 \mathrm{klf} \\
& I_{x}=890 \mathrm{in}^{4}(\text { Table } 3-2) \\
& \Delta_{w e}=\frac{5(1.010)(30)^{4}(1728)}{384(29000)(890)}=0.71^{11}<\frac{l}{360}=\frac{30(12)}{360}=10^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Brendin Barrett Exist:ns Framng
Live Lood Deflection

$$
\begin{array}{rl|l|l|l}
\hline \text { WLL }^{2}= & 69.3\left(\frac{21+19}{2}\right)=1.386 \mathrm{kIf} \\
I_{L \beta} & & 5.5 & 5.77 & 6 \\
& Y_{1} & 0.535 & 2260 & 2308 \\
\hline & & 2.73 & & 2287 \\
\hline 2.91 & 2020 & 2058 & 2090
\end{array}
$$

$I_{L B} \approx 2287 \mathrm{in}^{4} Q \quad y_{2}=5.77 \quad \sum Q_{n}=258^{\mathrm{k}}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{L L}=\frac{5(1.386)(30)^{4}(1728)}{384(24000)(2287)}=0.38^{\prime \prime}<\frac{l}{360}=1^{11} \\
\therefore 0 \mathrm{~K}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\Rightarrow W 21 \times 50(30)$ Girver is ok

Brendan Barret: Existing Framing
w $21 \times 44$ (30) Grover Chirk
Live Lad Reduction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\text {LL }} A_{T}=30(21)=630 \mathrm{ft}^{2}>400 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
& L_{0}=100 \times \max \left\lvert\, 0.25+\frac{15}{\sqrt{630}}=0.848=84.8 \mathrm{pSF}\right. \\
& W_{0}=1.4(68)=95.2 \mathrm{pSF} \\
& \quad 1.2(68)+1.6(84.8)=217.28 \mathrm{PSF}=\text { controls }
\end{aligned}
$$

Paint Loads from Infill Bemas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=217.28(10)\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)=228^{k} \\
& M_{V}=22.8^{k}\left(10^{\circ}\right)=228^{1 k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Check composite strength

$$
\text { Def }=\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 1^{1-8^{\prime \prime}} \\
& \min
\end{aligned} \frac{30(12)}{8}=45+\begin{aligned}
& \frac{30(12)}{8}=45 \\
& \min
\end{aligned} \frac{21(12)}{2}=126.67 \mathrm{in}\right.
$$

Check sher stud capacity:

$$
n=30 \Rightarrow S Q_{n}=\frac{30}{2} \times 172=258^{\mathrm{k}}
$$

Brendon Barretz Existiny Froming

$$
\text { As } F_{y}=\left(13.0 \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)(50 \mathrm{Ksi})=650 \mathrm{~K}
$$

$0.85 \mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ (beff $t=0.85(3.5 \mathrm{ksi})(46.67 \mathrm{in})(6.25 \mathrm{in})=867.8^{\mathrm{k}}$
Since Asfy $0.85 f^{\prime}$ befeft $>\sum Q_{n} \therefore$ Partially composite

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{A_{5} F_{y}-\sum Q_{n}}{2 b_{f} F_{7}}=\frac{650-258}{2(6.50)(501}=0.6^{\prime \prime}>t_{f}=0.455^{\prime \prime} \\
& a=\frac{258}{0.85(3.5)(46.67)}=1.86^{\prime \prime}=>y_{2}=6.25-\frac{1.80}{2}=5.72^{\prime \prime} \\
& O m_{n}=0.9\left[258(5.32)+650\left(\frac{20.7}{2}\right)-2(50)(6.5)(0.6)\left(\frac{0.6}{2}\right)\right] \\
& \varphi M_{n}=598.7^{1 k}>M_{C}=228^{1 \mathrm{k}} \therefore O K
\end{aligned}
$$

Check unshored strengh

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{v}=1.4(48)\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)+1.4(44)=0.7672 \mathrm{k} 1 \mathrm{f} \\
& w_{v}=1.2\left(48\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)+44\right)+1.6(20)\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)=0.9936 \mathrm{kIf} \\
& M_{v}=\frac{0.9936(30)^{2}}{8}=111.8^{1 \mathrm{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\sigma m_{n}\left(\right.$ Table 3-2) $=358^{1 K}>111.8^{1 K} \therefore$ oK for unshoed strongth
Wet Conlrete Deflection

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{\text {we }}=48\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)+44=0.548 \mathrm{KIf} \\
& I_{x}=843 \mathrm{ln}^{4} \quad(\text { Table } 3-2) \\
& \left.\Delta_{w c}=\frac{5(0.548)(30)^{4}(1728)}{384(29000)(843)}=0.4\right)^{\prime \prime} \angle \frac{l}{360}=\frac{30 c(2)}{360}=1^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Brendan Barrett Existing Framing
Live Load Deflection

$$
w_{\text {Lr }}=84.8\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)=0.8904 \mathrm{kIF}
$$

$I_{L B}$
$y_{1}$

|  | 5 | 5.32 | 5.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.450 | 1930 | 1974.8 | 2000 |
| 0.6 |  | 1961.7 |  |
| 2.92 | 1720 | 1758.4 | 1780 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{L B} & \approx 1961.7 \mathrm{in}^{4} @ y_{2}=5.32^{\prime \prime} \xi \sum Q_{n}=258^{k} \\
\Delta_{L L} & =\frac{5(0.8904)(30)^{4}(1728)}{384(29000)(1961.7)}=0.29^{\prime \prime}<\frac{l}{360}=1^{\prime \prime} \\
& \therefore \text { ok } \\
& \Rightarrow W 21 \times 44(30) \text { Girder is ok }
\end{aligned}
$$

Brandon Barrett Existing Framing
Exterior Column Check (W $12 \times 65$ )
Typical Loading
Dead $=68$ PSF
Live = 100 PSF
Curtain Wall LaO $=15$ PSF
Roof Live $=30$ PSF
Roof Dead $=148$ PSF
Live Loud Reduction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{T}=\left(\frac{21}{2}+1^{1}-8^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(30^{\circ}\right)=365 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
& K_{L L}=3 \Rightarrow K_{L L} A_{T}=1095 \mathrm{ft}^{2}>400 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
& L_{0}^{F}=100 \times{ }_{0}=10.25+\frac{15}{\sqrt{1045}}=0.70=70 \mathrm{PSF}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lacing:
Typical Floor $=1.2(68)+1.6(70)=193.6$ PSF

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Root } & =1.2 D+1.6\left(L_{R}\right. \text { or for R) } \\
& =1,2(148)+1.6(30) \\
& =225,6 \mathrm{PSF}
\end{aligned}
$$

$P_{0}=6$ teperal Floors + Roof + curter war

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =6(193.6 \mathrm{PSF})\left(365 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)+(225.6 \mathrm{psF})\left(365 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)+15 \mathrm{Pst}(90)\left(3 \mathrm{o}^{\circ}\right) \\
& =547^{\mathrm{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$w 12 \times 65$ unbraced length $\approx 15^{\prime}$

$$
\varphi P_{n}=663^{k}>547^{k} \quad \therefore O K
$$

Brendan Barrett
Interior Column (heck (w $12+106$ )
Typical hooding:
Dead = 68 PSF
Live $=100$ PSF
Roof Live $=30 \mathrm{PSF}$
Roof Dead: 148 PSF
Live Load Reduction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{T}=\left(\frac{21}{2}+\frac{20}{2}\right)(30)=615 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
& K_{L L}=\frac{4}{\gamma} \Rightarrow K_{L L} A_{T}=2460 \mathrm{ft}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for interior colum

$$
L_{0}=100 \times \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 0.5 \\
& 0.25+\frac{15}{\sqrt{2460}}=0.552
\end{aligned}=55.2 \mathrm{pSF}\right.
$$

Looping:
Typical Floor: $1.2(68)+1.6(55.2)=170$ PSt

$$
\text { Roof }=1.2(148)+1.6(30)=225.6 \mathrm{PSF}
$$

$P_{u}=b$ tropical $F(001 s+$ coot

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =6(170 \text { PSF })\left(615 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)+225.6 \mathrm{PSF}\left(615 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \\
& =766^{\mathrm{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$w 12 \times 106$ Unbraced length $\approx 15^{\circ}$

$$
\phi P_{n}=1100^{K}>766^{K} \quad \therefore O K
$$

## 6. Alternative Framing Systems for Gravity Loads

### 6.1 Alternate Design \#1: Non-Composite Steel Framing

The same bay that was analyzed above will now be redesigned using non-composite steel framing. The deck is designed using the Vulcraft Catalog.

Briton Borcett Altercate Design \#l
Design \#1: Non-composite Steel Framing


Misc $\Delta L=20$
$21^{\circ} \quad$ Slab $=57$
Live $=100$

Decking
3 Span Max construction clean spoon $\geq 10^{\prime}$
"Try $3 C$ is W/ " NW concrete
Max construction span $=14^{\prime}-2^{\prime \prime} \geq 10^{\prime} \therefore O K$
Total Load $=100+57+10+10=177$ PSF LL Slab: M/E/r/L Franny
Allowable load @10'こ 193 PSF $>177$ PSF $\therefore$ OK
$W_{\text {LL }}=100 \mathrm{PSF} \angle l / 240=155 \mathrm{PSF} \therefore$ OK
$\Rightarrow$ use $3<18 \mathrm{w} / 3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{NW}$ concrete

Bream Barrett Alternate Design स 1
Infill Beam Design
Live Load Deflection:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{\text {LL }}=\frac{l}{360}=\frac{21(12)}{360}=0.7{ }^{\prime \prime} \\
& W_{\text {LL }}=98.2 \operatorname{PSF}\left(10^{\circ}\right)=0.982 \mathrm{kIf} \\
& I_{\text {req }}=\frac{5(0.982)(21)^{4}(1728)}{384(29000) I} \leq 0.7^{\prime \prime} \\
& I_{\text {req }} \geq 211 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Load Deflection:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{\text {TL }}=\frac{l}{240}=\frac{21(12)}{240}=1.05^{\prime \prime} \\
W_{\text {TL }}=(57+10+10+98.2)\left(10^{\prime}\right)=1.752 \mathrm{klf} \\
I_{\text {req }}=\frac{5(1.752)(21)^{4}(1728)}{384(29000) I} \leq 1.05^{\prime \prime} \\
\text { Freq } \geq 251 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

Try W $14 \times 30 \quad I=291$ in $^{4}$

Brenom Barrett Alternate Design WI
Check Flexure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{v}= & 1.4(77)=107.8 \\
& 1.2(77)+1.6(98.2)=249.5 \quad \mathrm{PSF} \Leftrightarrow \text { controls } \\
W_{0}= & 249.5\left(10^{\prime}\right)=2495 \mathrm{pLF} \\
M_{0}= & \frac{2495(21)^{2}}{8}=137.5^{1 \mathrm{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\varphi m_{n}($ Table $3-2)=177^{1 K}>M_{U}=137.5^{1 K} \therefore O K$
$\Rightarrow$ use w $14 \times 30$ Infill Beams
Spandrel Girder Design
Live Load Deflection:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{L L}= & \frac{l}{360}=\frac{30(12)}{360}=1^{\prime \prime} \\
P_{L L}= & 848(10)\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)=18.9^{k} \\
\Delta_{L L}= & \frac{8.9(10)}{24(29000)}\left[3(30)^{2}-4(10)^{2}\right](1728) \leq 1^{\prime \prime} \\
& I_{\text {req }} \geq 508 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Prencom Bouleft Altercate Design th
Total Load Deflection:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{T L}=\frac{l}{240}=\frac{30(12)}{240}=1.5^{\prime 1} \\
& P_{T L}=(77+84.8)(10)\left(\frac{21}{2}^{\prime}\right)=17.0^{K} \\
& \Delta_{T L}= \frac{34.0(10)}{24(29000) I}\left[3(30)^{2}-4(10)^{2}\right](1728) \leq 1.5^{11} \\
& \text { Freq } \geq 647 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Try $W 21 \times 44 \quad I=843$ in $^{4}$
Check Flexure

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{u}= & 1.4(77)=107.8 \\
& 1.2(77)+1.6(84.8)=228.1 \quad \rho S F=\text { controls } \\
P_{U}= & 228.1\left(10^{\circ}\right)\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)=23.95^{\mathrm{k}} \\
M_{U}= & P_{a}=23.95\left(10^{\circ}\right)=239.5^{1 \mathrm{k}} \\
\varphi M_{n}= & 358^{1 \mathrm{k}}>M_{u}=239.5^{1 \mathrm{k}} \therefore \text { OK }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ use $W 21 \times 44$ sponger girder

Girder Design
Live Load Deflection $\Rightarrow \frac{l}{360}=1^{11}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{L L} & =64.3(10)\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)+69.3(10)\left(\frac{19}{2}\right)=13.9^{\mathrm{k}} \\
\Delta_{L L} & =\frac{13.9(10)}{24(29000) I}\left[3(30)^{2}-4(10)^{2}\right](1728) \leq 1^{11} \\
& I_{\text {req }} \geq 804 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Load Deflection $\Rightarrow \frac{l}{240}=1.5^{\circ}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{T L}=(77+69.3)(10)\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)+(77+69.3)(10)\left(\frac{19}{2}\right)=29.3^{k} \\
& \Delta_{T L}=\frac{29.3(10)}{24(29000) I}\left[3(30)^{2}-4(10)^{2}\right](1728) \leq 1.5^{11} \\
& F_{\text {req }} \geq 1130 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Try w $24 \times 55 \quad I=1350 \mathrm{in}^{4}$
Flexure check

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{v}=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
1.4(77)=107.8 \mathrm{PSF} \\
1.2(77)+1.6169 .3)=203.28 \mathrm{PSF}
\end{array}\right. \\
& P_{U}=203.28(10)\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)+203.28(10)\left(\frac{19}{2}\right)=40.6^{k} \\
& M_{U}=40.6^{k}\left(10^{\prime}\right)=406^{1 k} \\
& O M_{n}=503^{1 k}>M U=406^{1 k} \therefore \text { OK } \\
& \Rightarrow \text { use W } W 24 \times 55 \text { Girder }
\end{aligned}
$$



### 6.2 Alternate Design \#2: One-Way Slab with Edge Beam

This $21^{\prime}$ x 30 ' bay will now be designed using a one-way slab with edge beams. The slab will span parallel to the 21 ' direction.

Brevier Burletta Alternate Design \#z
Design \# 2: ore - way Slab


Slab Design

$$
f^{\prime} c=3500 \mathrm{psi}
$$

$L W$ concrete $\Rightarrow 115$ CF
Minimum slab thickness:
From ACI 318-14 table 7.3.1.1

- one end continuous $\Rightarrow t=\frac{l}{24}=\frac{21(12)}{24}=10.5^{\prime \prime}$ (end bay) $\therefore$ use 11 "slab

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial= & 11-0.75-0.5 / 2=10^{\prime \prime} \\
& \text { clear cover } \\
& +4 \text { bors }
\end{aligned}
$$

Locos:
Dead Load $=\left(\frac{111}{12}\right) \times 115$ PCP +20 PSF $=126$ PSF
Live Lood $=100 \times 0.25+\frac{15}{\sqrt{30 \times 21}}=84.8$ PSF

Brevian Barrett Alternate Desitn \#z

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{0}=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
1.4(126)=174.4 \mathrm{PSF} \\
1.2(126)+1.6(84.8)=286.9 \mathrm{PSF}
\end{array}\right. \\
& M L=\frac{286.9\binom{\text { Lnit stip methud }}{1}(21)^{2}}{8}=15.8^{1 \mathrm{k}} \\
& \text { As } \geq \frac{\mu_{v}}{\varphi F_{y}\left(\partial-\frac{a}{2}\right)}=\frac{15.8 \lambda 12}{0.9(60)(\underbrace{(0,5)(10)}_{\text {Assume }} j d}=0.37 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{ft} \\
& a=\frac{\left(0.37 \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)(60 \mathrm{ksi})}{0.85(3.5 \mathrm{ksi})(12 \mathrm{in})}=0.62 \Rightarrow c=\frac{0.62}{0.85}=0.23 \\
& \varepsilon_{s}=\frac{0.003(10-0.73)}{0.73}=0.038>0.005 \\
& \therefore \text { Steel yieloed }
\end{aligned}
$$

tasion controlled $\Rightarrow \varphi=0,9$
$\Rightarrow$ use \#6 (0) 12"O.c. $A_{5}=0,44 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{ft}$
Mirimum Renforenent:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Asmin }=0.0018 b h=0.0018(12)(11)=0.237 \mathrm{in}^{2}<0.44 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\therefore 01
\end{array}
$$

Mat Spacing:

$$
S_{\text {max }}=\left.\left.\right|_{\text {min }}\right|_{18} ^{3 n}=3(11)=33^{\prime \prime}=\text { controls } \therefore \text { ok }
$$

Brevidar Berretta Alternate Design $\# 2$
Max sparing for Crack (antral:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
15\left(\frac{40000}{f_{s}}\right)-2.5 c_{c}=15\left(\frac{40000}{\frac{2}{3}(60000)}\right)-2.5(0.75)=13125 \\
12\left(\frac{40000}{f_{s}}\right)=12\left(\frac{40000}{\frac{2}{3}(60000)}\right)=12^{11}
\end{array}\right. \\
& S_{\text {max }}=12^{\prime \prime} \geq 12^{\prime \prime} \quad \therefore \text { Ok }
\end{aligned}
$$

Check ore way Shear:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{v}=\frac{1.15 w_{v} l}{2}=\frac{1.15(286.9)(21)}{2}=3.5^{k} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\phi_{v_{c}} & =\phi 2 \lambda \sqrt{\text { lc }^{\prime}} b_{w} \partial \\
& =0.75(2)(0.75) \sqrt{3500}(12)(10) \\
& =8.0^{k}>3.5^{k}, \text { ok }
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Check Flexure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{s} F_{y}=0.85 \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{ba} \\
& \left.a=\frac{0.41(60)}{0.85(35)(12)}=0.74 \Rightarrow c=\frac{0.24}{0.85}=0.8\right) \\
& \partial=11-0.75-0.75 / 2=9.88 \mathrm{in} \\
& \varepsilon_{s}=\frac{0.003(9.88-0.87)}{0.87}=0.03>0.005 \\
& \therefore \text { Steel yields } \varnothing=0.9
\end{aligned}
$$

Brendan Barrett Alternate Design ty

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi m_{n} & =\varphi A_{s} F_{y}\left(0-\frac{9}{2}\right) \\
& =0.9(0.44)(60)\left(9.88-\frac{0.74}{2}\right) \\
& =18.8^{1 k}>m_{v}=15.8^{1 k} \therefore 0 k
\end{aligned}
$$

Sinkage and Temperature Reinforcenat:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(S+T)=0.0018 b h=0.0018(12)(11)=0.237 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& S_{\text {max }}=\left.\right|_{\text {min }} ^{5 h} 18 \quad 5(11)=55^{\prime \prime} \\
& =18^{\prime \prime}=\text { controls } \\
& \Rightarrow \text { use } \# 5 @ 12^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { As }=0.31 \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Bean Design

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{v}=286.9 \text { PSF }\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)^{\prime}=3012.5 \text { PLF } \\
& M_{v}=\frac{3912.5(30)^{2}}{8} \times 11 \mathrm{Self} \text { weight }=3728^{1 \mathrm{k}} \\
& \text { of beam }
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculate tentative $P$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho=\frac{0.25 f^{\prime} c \beta_{1}}{f_{y}}=\frac{0.25(3.5)(0.85)}{60}=0.0124 \\
& \mu_{n}=\frac{\mu v}{\phi}=\frac{322.8}{0.9}=414.2^{1 \mathrm{k}} \\
& \omega=\frac{\rho f_{y}}{f^{\prime} c}=\frac{00124(60)}{3.5}=0.213
\end{aligned}
$$

Brendon Barrett Alterrute Design $t 12$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =\omega f^{\prime} c(1-0.59 \mathrm{w}) \\
& =0.213(3.5)(1-0.59(0.213)) \\
& =0.65 \mathrm{ksi} \\
M_{n} & =R b \delta^{2} \\
b d^{2} & =\frac{M_{n}}{R}=\frac{414.2 \times 12}{0.65 \mathrm{ks}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Try, $b=18^{\prime \prime} \quad \partial=24^{\prime \prime} \quad h=27^{\prime \prime}$

$$
\text { As req }=\frac{M_{v}}{6 F_{y j d}}=\frac{372.8 \times 12}{0.9(60)(0.95)(24)}=13.63 \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

use $4 \# 9 \quad A_{s}=4.0 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
Creck Flexure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { As } F_{y} & =0.85 f^{\prime}(b a \\
a & =\frac{4(60)}{0.85(7.5)(18)}=4.48=>c=\frac{4.48}{0.85}=5.27 \\
\varepsilon_{5}= & \frac{0.003(24-5.27)}{5.27}=0.01>0.005 \\
& \therefore \text { rensin contrilled } 0=0.9 \\
\theta M_{n} & =0.9(4)(60)\left(24-\frac{4.48}{2}\right) \\
& =391.7^{1 k}>372.8^{1 k} \quad \therefore 0 \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned}
$$

Brendan Barrett Alternde Design $\# 2$
Cheek Shear:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{U}=3012.5 \text { PLy }+\frac{27 \times 19}{144}+115=3.4 \mathrm{kIf} \\
& V_{v} \frac{w l}{2}=\frac{3.4(30)}{2}=51.0^{\mathrm{k}} \\
& \phi v_{c}=\theta 2 \lambda \sqrt{f^{\prime}\left(b_{w} d\right.} \\
& =0.75(2)(0.75) \sqrt{3500}(18)(24) \\
& =28.8^{k}<V_{v} \therefore \text { seed shear Renforment } \\
& V_{s}=\frac{V_{v}}{\varphi}-V_{c} \\
& =\frac{51.0}{0.75}-38.3 \\
& =29.7^{\mathrm{K}}
\end{aligned}
$$

check $8 \sqrt{\text { fec herd }}=204^{k}>$ vs $\therefore$ ok
Solve for Stirrup Sparing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S \leq \frac{A_{v} f_{y+\partial}}{v_{s}}=\frac{0.22(60)(24)}{29.7}=10.67^{\prime \prime} \\
& S_{\text {max }}=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
d / 2=12^{\prime \prime} \Leftarrow \text { governs } \\
\min
\end{array}\right. \\
& \therefore \text { use } 10^{11} \text { spading }
\end{aligned}
$$

Brendan Barrett Alternate Designers
$=>$ vie $\because 32$ branch Q $10^{\prime \prime}$ o.c.
Total Loos Deflection:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\frac{b h^{3}}{12}=\frac{(18)(27)^{3}}{12}=29524 \mathrm{in}^{4} \\
& W_{\text {WE }}=\underbrace{226 \cdot \operatorname{PSF}\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)}_{\text {PL }+L L \text { from SIAD }}+\underbrace{\left(\frac{27 \times 18}{144}\right)}_{\text {Self wight of bean }} 115 \operatorname{PCF}=2761 \mathrm{PLF}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Delta_{T L}=\frac{5(2.761)(30)^{4}(1728)}{384(4415)(29524)}=0.39^{11} \leq \frac{l}{240}=1.5^{11} \\
\therefore 0 \mathrm{~K}
\end{array}
$$

Live Loud Deflection:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{\text {LL }}=100 \operatorname{PSF}\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)=1050 \text { PLF } \\
& \Delta_{L L}=\frac{5(1.050)(30)^{4}(1728)}{784(4415)(29524)}=0.15^{11} \leq \frac{\ell}{360}=1^{11} \\
& \therefore \text { OK }
\end{aligned}
$$

Brendan Barrett Alternate Design $\pm 2$
Final Design Layout
$f^{\prime}=3500 \mathrm{ps}^{\prime}$
$f_{y}=60000 \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{si}}$


Section View Along edge bean


### 6.3 Alternate Design \#3: Hollow Core Plank on Wide Flanges

The final design will be a hollow core plank slab on wide flanges. The hollow core plank was designed using Nitterhouse Prestressed Nicore Planks. The specification for the design used is included at the end of the section.

Brevorn Barrett Alternate Design \# 3
Design \#3: Hollow care Plank on wide Flanges


$$
\text { Misc } D L=20 \mathrm{PSF}
$$

Live $=100$ PSF

From Nitterhouse $\Rightarrow 6^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime}-0 \quad$ Presterssed concrete Plank 1 Hour Fire Resistance Rating (Untapped)

Self weight $=48.75$ PSF
Superposed $D L=20$ PSF
Live Load $=100$ PSF
$W^{\prime}=1.2(20)+1.6(100)=184 \mathrm{PSF}$
$b^{\prime} / 2^{\prime \prime} \varnothing$ strands w/ max span $=15^{\circ}$
Sole Superimposed Service Loud = 273 PSF $>184$ PSF. T. OK Live Load Deflection:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{L L}=100 \mathrm{PSF}\left(4^{\prime}\right)=400 \mathrm{PLF} \\
& E=57000 \mathrm{Ff}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}=57000 \sqrt{6000}=4415 \mathrm{kti} \\
& I=757 \mathrm{in}^{4} \\
& \Delta_{L L}=\frac{5(0.400)(15)^{4}(1728)}{384(4415)(757)}=0.14^{\prime \prime}<\frac{l}{360}=\frac{15(12)}{360}=0.5^{\prime \prime} \\
& \therefore \text { ok }
\end{aligned}
$$

Bradan Buriett Alternate Desiyn \#"?
Tatal Load Deflection:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{T L}=(20+100)\left(4^{\prime}\right)=480 \mathrm{PLF} \\
& \Delta_{T L}=\frac{5(0.480)(15)^{4}(1728)}{384(44.5)(757)}=0.16^{\prime \prime}<\frac{e}{240}=0.75^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Flexure check:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{V}=184 \operatorname{PSF}\left(4^{\prime}\right)=736 \mathrm{PLF} \\
& \mu_{v}=\frac{736(15)^{2}}{8}=20.7^{1 \mathrm{k}} \\
& M_{\text {UIt }}=67.2^{\mathrm{k}} \geq \mu_{v}=20.7^{1 \mathrm{k}} \therefore \text { OK }
\end{aligned}
$$

W-Shape Design
Live Lood Reduction.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{I L} A_{T}=(15+15)(21)=630 \mathrm{ft}^{2}=400 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
& L_{0}=100 \times \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
0.5 \\
0.25+\frac{15}{\sqrt{630}}=0.848=84.8 \mathrm{psF}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Live Load Deflection: $\Rightarrow l / 360$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{\text {re }}= & 84.8 \operatorname{PSF}\left(15^{\prime}\right)=1.272 \text { Kif } \\
I_{\text {ren }}= & \frac{5(1.272)(21)^{4}(1728)}{384(29000) I} \leqslant \frac{21(12)}{360}=0.7^{\prime \prime} \\
& \text { Iren } \geq 274 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Brenown Borrett Alterntie Design $\pm$ /3
Total locd Deflection $=\supset \ell / 240$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{\text {IL }}=(20+48.75+84.8)\left(15^{\circ}\right)=2.303 \mathrm{K1f} \\
& \text { Ireq }=\frac{5(2.303)(21)^{4}(728)}{384(24000) I} \leq \frac{21(12)}{240}=1.05^{11} \\
& I_{\text {rau }} \geq 331 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Try $W 16 \times 31 \quad I=375 \mathrm{in}^{4}$
Check Flesure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{v}=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
1.4(20+48.75)=96.25 \mathrm{PSF} \\
1.2(20+48.75)+1.6(84.8)=218.18 \mathrm{PSF}
\end{array}\right. \\
& w_{0}=218.18(15)=3273 \mathrm{PLF} \\
& m_{v}=\frac{3273(21)^{2}}{8}=180.4^{1 k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$O M_{n}($ Table $3-2)=203^{1 K}>M_{u}=180.4^{1 k} \therefore O K$ $\Rightarrow$ use $w 16 \times 31$ w-shape

Breath Barrett Alternate Design \#3
Girder Design
Live Load: 69.3 PSF (Some as Lu $21 \times 50$
Live Load Deflection.
Girl chare)

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{L L} & =69.3(15)\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)+69.3(15)\left(\frac{19}{2}\right)=20.8^{k} \\
\Delta_{L L} & =\frac{20.8(30)^{3}(1728)}{48(29000) I} \leq 1^{11} \\
& I_{\text {ea }} \geq 697 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Total Load Deflection:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{T L}=(20+48.75+69.3)(15)\left[\frac{21}{2}+\frac{19}{2}\right]=41.4^{\mathrm{k}} \\
\Delta_{T L}=\frac{41.4(30)^{3}(1728)}{48(29000) F} \leq 1.5^{11} \\
I_{\text {req }} \geqslant 925 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

Try w $21 \times 55 \quad I=1140 \mathrm{in}^{4}$
Flexure check:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{V}=1 \begin{array}{l}
1.4(20+48.75)=96.25 \text { PSF } \\
1.2(20+48.75)+1.6(69.3)=193.4 \text { PSF }
\end{array} \\
& P_{v}=193.4(15)\left(\frac{21}{2}\right)+193.4(15)\left(\frac{19}{2}\right)=58.0^{k} \\
& M=\frac{58.0(30 j}{4}=435^{1 k} \angle \phi M_{1}=473^{1 k} \therefore O K \\
& \Rightarrow \text { use w } 21 \times 55 \text { Girder }
\end{aligned}
$$

Brendan Barrett Alternate Desire: H3
Final Design Layout


Slab $=6^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime}-0$ Prestiessed Concrete Nilore Prank 1 Hour Fire Resistance Rating (Untopeed)

## 7.Systems Comparison

| Considerations | Composite Steel Framing | Non-Composite Steel Framing | One- Way Slab | Hollow Core Plank on Wide Flanges |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Architectural |  |  |  |  |
| Depth | 27" | 30" | 11" | 27" |
| Fire Rating | 2 Hour | 2 Hour | 2 Hour | 1 Hour |
| Construction Information |  |  |  |  |
| Cost/SF | \$7.53 | \$7.60 | \$5.96 | \$7.17 |
| Weight | 57.0 PSF | 65.7 PSF | 142.4 PSF | 57.1 PSF |
| Future Design Considerations |  |  |  |  |
| Advantages | Lightweight, fairly cheap, minimal formwork | Lightweight, fairly cheap, minimal formwork | Smallest depth, cheapest option, minimal vibrations | Lightweight, fairly cheap, faster construction |
| Disadvantages | Large Depth, vibration | Largest depth, vibration | Largest weight, requires most formwork | Large depth, difficult to fit rectangular panels in irregular shaped bays |
| Further Research | N/A | Yes | Yes | No |

Analyzing the four different systems shows that composite framing is the best option for this project as it is one of the cheaper, lightweight options that allows for an irregular layout. Moving forward, non-composite framing and one-way slab could be viable options as non-composite framing could reduce vibrations due to the larger depth while one way slab is the cheapest and smallest depth. The hollow core plank on wide flanges does not appear to be a viable option due to difficult constructability because of the building layout.

## 8.Lateral Analysis

This section analyzes the existing lateral system in the Brendan Iribe Center for Computer Science and Innovation. RAM Structural System was used to create a computer model as shown in Figure 3 below. In addition to the RAM model, hand calculations have been performed to validate the accuracy of this computer model.


Figure 3: RAM model

### 8.1 Modeling Information

Several factors were considered in the creation of this model
Diaphragm:
8. Assigned to be a rigid diaphragm at each level
9. Uniform dead and live load assigned from Notebook Submission A
10. Self-weight of diaphragm included in RAM

Moment Frames:

- Beams and columns are fixed-fixed
- Column bases are fixed-fixed with spread footings
- Self-weight of framing included in RAM

Braced Frames:

- Braces are pinned-pinned to beams/columns
- Column bases are fixed-fixed with spread footings
- Self-weight of framing included in RAM

Figure 4 shows a plan of the lateral members throughout the building. There are 17 separate lateral members, including moment frames, braced frames, combination of the two. Frames 1-10 continue from the base to the roof, while frames 11-17 continue from the ground to the $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor, which is the roof for the auditorium


Figure 4: LFRS plan

### 8.2 Model Validation

### 8.2.1 Stiffness Calculation

Frone 1 (Column Line IW)


Frome 3 (Colum Line BW.6)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{\text {col }}=\frac{12(29000)(3 \times 2660)}{(25.5 \times 12)^{3}}=96.9 \mathrm{k}_{\text {in }} \\
& K_{\text {brace }}=\frac{32.9(29000)}{(29.6 \times 12)} \times \cos ^{2}(59.5) \times 2=1383.9 \mathrm{k}_{\text {in }} \\
& \text { Kbrace } 2=\frac{32.9(29000)}{(27.8 \times 12)} \times \cos ^{2}\left(66.1^{\circ}\right)=469.5 \mathrm{k} \text { in } \\
& K_{\text {totio }}=96.9+1783.9+469.5=1956.3 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Frome 4 (colum Line AW)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow{k} 3 w \\
& \begin{array}{l}
2 \\
\frac{2}{x} \\
\frac{5}{3} \\
3
\end{array} \\
& K_{\text {(0) }}=\frac{12(29000)(2 \times 2660)}{(25.5 \times 12)^{3}}=64.6 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

*rome 5 (Colum Line 4W)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\text {col }}=\frac{12(29000)(6+2660)}{(25.5 \times 12)^{3}}=193.8 k i i_{1} \\
& K_{\text {Dace }}=\frac{32.9(29000}{(29.05 \times 12)} \times \cos ^{2}\left(61.4^{\circ}\right)=627.2 \mathrm{kn} \\
& K_{\text {total }}=193.8+627.2=821 \mathrm{k} / \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

Frame 6 (colum line 4w.3)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{\text {col }}=\frac{12(24000)(2 \times 2660)}{(25.5 \times 12)^{3}}=64.6 k_{i_{1 n}} \\
& k_{\text {Douce }}=\frac{32.9(29000)}{(28.7 \times 12)} \cos ^{2}(62.5)=590.7 \mathrm{kin}_{\text {in }} \\
& k_{\text {total }}=64.6+590.7=655.3 \mathrm{k}_{\text {in }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Frome 7 (Colunn Line $9 E$ )


$$
K_{\text {prace }} 2=\frac{35.2(29000)}{(28.4+12)} \times \cos ^{2}(63.7)+2=1176 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
k_{\text {total }}=141.4+1159.5+1176=2476.9 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}
$$

Frome 8 (colum line DE)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\text {col }}=\frac{12(29000)(2 \times 1900)}{(25.5 \times 12)^{3}}=46.2 \mathrm{kin} \\
& \text { Kprace }=\frac{50(29000)}{(29.6 \times 12)} \times \cos ^{2}(59.5) \times 2=2103.1 \mathrm{kin} \\
& K_{\text {totul }}=46.2+2103.1=2149.3 \mathrm{k} \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

Frame 9 (column Line AE)
some as Frame 8 except $L=30^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{25.5}{15.125}\right)=59.3^{\circ} \\
& k_{\text {col }}=46.2 \mathrm{k} / 1 \mathrm{in} \\
& K_{\text {brace }}=\frac{50(29004)}{(29.6 \times 12)}+\cos ^{2}\left(59.3^{\circ}\right) \times 2=2128 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in} \\
& k_{\text {total }}=46.2+2128=2174.2 \mathrm{k} / \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

Frore 10 (colum Line 10E)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow \text { DE CE. } \boldsymbol{C l} \\
& k_{\text {col }}=141.4^{k / i n} \\
& \text { brace }=\frac{44.7(29000)}{(28.4 \times 12)} \times \cos ^{2}(63.9)^{\circ} \times 2=1472.4 k \lambda_{1} \\
& \text { kyrule } 2=\frac{44.7(29000)}{(28.4 \times 12)} \times \cos ^{2}\left(62.7^{\circ}\right) \times 2=1493.4 \mathrm{kin} \\
& K_{\text {total }}=141.4+1472.4+1493.4=3107.2 \mathrm{k} \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

Frame II (Column Line 10)


$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{\text {col }} & =\frac{12(29000)(999+1710)}{(25.5 \times 12)^{3}} \\
& =32.9 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{in}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Frame 12 (Column Line AA)


$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{(t)} & =\frac{12(29000)(2 \times 1710)}{(25.5 \times 12)^{3}} \\
& =41.5 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Frame 13 (Column Lire (A)
$3 A \quad 3 A .1 \quad 4 A .1 \quad 5 A$


$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{\text {col }} & =\frac{12(29000)(722+795+2660+1110)}{(25.5 \times 12)^{3}} \\
& =64,6 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\text {brace }}=\frac{10.3(29000)}{27.33 \times 12}+\cos ^{2}(68.9) \times 2=236.1 \mathrm{k} \text { in } \\
& K_{\text {Total }}=64.6+236.1=300.7 \mathrm{k}_{\text {in }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Frame 14 (colum Line $3 C$ )


Frame 15 (Column Line 10 A)


$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{\mathrm{cOl}} & =\frac{12(29000) \mathrm{c} 3(1110))}{(25.5 \times 12)^{7}} \\
& =40.4 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Frame 16 (Column Line JA)


$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{(0)} & =\frac{12(29000)(2(1110+1210))}{(25.5 \times 12)^{3}} \\
& =47.7 \mathrm{k} / 10
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
K_{\text {brute } 1}=\frac{10.3(29000)}{(28.97 \times 12)} \times \cos ^{2}(61.66) \times 2=387.2 \mathrm{kin}
$$

$$
\text { Kara } 2=\frac{11.7(29000)}{(36.06 \times 12)}+\cos ^{2}(45) \times 2=784.1 \text { kin }
$$

$$
K_{\text {Total }}=47.7+387.2+784.1=1218.7 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{Nn}_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Freme 17 (Column Line 1A)


$$
k_{\text {col }}=\frac{12(29000)(3 \times 1110)}{(25.5 \times 12)^{3}}=40.4 \mathrm{k} / 10
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Korace }=\frac{7.08(290001}{(32.46 \times 12)} \times \cos ^{2}(51.89) \times 4=803.1 \mathrm{kin} \\
& K_{\text {rotal }}=40.4+803.1=843.5 \mathrm{k} / \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 8.2.2 Center of Rigidity Calculation

| Frame Number | Element Direction | x | Y | Rx | Ry | Rx*Y | Ry*X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | X |  | -110.5 | 490.49 |  | -54199.5 |  |
|  | y | 156.4 |  |  | 525.99 |  | 82264.72 |
| 2 | X |  | -96.9 | 47.25 |  | -4578.09 |  |
|  | y | 115.75 |  |  | 44.06 |  | 5099.605 |
| 3 | X |  | -126.3 | 1426.36 |  | -180149 |  |
|  | y | 139.7 |  |  | 1330.10 |  | 185815 |
| 4 | X |  | -155 | 31.32 |  | -4854.41 |  |
|  | y | 174.5 |  |  | 56.50 |  | 9859.321 |
| 5 | X |  | -137 | 559.92 |  | -76709.2 |  |
|  | y | 137 |  |  | 600.44 |  | 82260.42 |
| 6 | x |  | -145 | 446.91 |  | -64802.5 |  |
|  | y | 129.2 |  |  | 479.26 |  | 61919.85 |
| 7 | X |  | -186.5 | 301.86 |  | -56297.1 |  |
|  | y | 1 |  |  | 2458.44 |  | 2458.437 |
| 8 | X |  | -149.5 | 2133.28 |  | -318925 |  |
|  | y | -17.22 |  |  | 261.93 |  | -4510.5 |
| 9 | X |  | -225.8 | 2174.20 |  | -490934 |  |
| 10 | X |  | -189.4 | 378.68 |  | -71721.3 |  |
|  | y | -28.7 |  |  | 3084.04 |  | -88511.9 |
| 11 | X |  | -107.67 | 26.62 |  | -2865.82 |  |
|  | y | 29.25 |  |  | 19.34 |  | 565.64 |
| 12 | X |  | -105.67 | 41.27 |  | -4361.28 |  |
|  | y | -19.5 |  |  | 4.34 |  | -84.5896 |
| 13 | X |  | -90.5 | 300.70 |  | -27213.4 |  |
| 14 | x |  | -82.67 | 8.68 |  | -717.862 |  |
|  | y | -75.75 |  |  | 28.40 |  | -2151.47 |
| 15 | X |  | -45.75 | 3.52 |  | -161.092 |  |
|  | y | -69.2 |  |  | 40.25 |  | -2785.04 |
| 16 | X |  | 0 | 1218.70 |  |  |  |
| 17 | y | -7.9 |  |  | 843.50 |  | -6663.65 |
|  |  |  | $\Sigma$ | 9589.763503 | 9776.578 | -1358490 | 325535.9 |

Table 1: COR calculation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{X_{R}}=\frac{\sum R_{y} X}{\sum R_{y}}=\frac{325535.9}{9776.578}=33.29^{\prime} \\
\overline{Y_{R}}=\frac{\sum R_{x} Y}{\sum R_{x}}=\frac{-1358490}{9589.763}=-141.66^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

### 8.2.3 Center of Mass Calculations



Figure 5: COM determination

| Element | Area $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | Weight(psf) | $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{k})$ | x | y | $\mathrm{W}^{*} \mathrm{x}$ | $W^{*} \mathrm{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor (A) | 9779 | 48 | 469.39 | 151.4 | -116.25 | 71065.95 | -54566.82 |
| Floor (B) | 13093 | 48 | 628.46 | 43.75 | -181.5 | 27495.30 | -114066.22 |
| Floor (C.) | 7914 | 48 | 379.87 | -93.25 | -192.25 | -35423.06 | -73030.39 |
| Floor (D) | 14911 | 48 | 715.73 | -35.88 | -65.15 | -25680.32 | -46629.68 |
| $\Sigma$ | 45697 |  | 2193.456 |  |  | 37457.86416 | -288293.1072 |

Table 2: COM calculation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{X_{\text {COM }}}=\frac{\sum W_{x}}{\sum W}=\frac{37457.864}{92193.456}=17.08^{\prime} \\
\overline{Y_{\text {COM }}}=\frac{\sum W_{y}}{\sum W}=\frac{-288293.107}{92193.456}=-141.43^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 6: COR and COM comparisons

The center of rigidity calculation is off in the x direction by about 19 feet in the x direction and about 36 feet in the $y$ direction. The hand calculated center of rigidity could be off for a number of reasons. The most probable reason is the result of breaking the angled frames into its respective x and y components. In reality, the frame does not act in the x and y direction, it only acts in line with the frame. However, breaking the frame into components is necessary for performing this calculation by hand. The angled members are most certainly the cause of discrepancy between RAM and the hand calculations. The center of mass calculation is off by about 9 feet in the x and y direction. Due to the irregular geometry of the building floor plan, the building had to be broken into separate shapes as shown in Figure 5 on the previous page. This irregular shape will not result in an exact center of mass. In addition, RAM takes into account slap openings which the hand calculations do not, which also increases the discrepancy.

### 8.2.4 Total Shear into Frames

After comparing the total building shear of each case in RAM, it has been determined that Wind Case 1 (North-South direction) is the controlling lateral case. A building shear of 1254.26 kips is applied at the center of geometry, results in an eccentricity of $3.8^{\prime}$ from the center of rigidity. This yields a torsional moment of $4766.188 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Direct Shear |  | Torsional Shear | Total Shear | RAM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frame | Rx | Ry | R | di | Ridi | Ridi ${ }^{2}$ | Vdx | Vdy | Vt | V | Vx | Vy | V | \% error |
| 1 | 490.49 | 525.99 | 719.20 | 107.63 | 77407.50 | 8331368.79 | 64.15 | 67.48 | 4.41 | 88.70 | 57.26 | 64.14 | 85.98 | 3.16 |
| 2 | 47.25 | 44.06 | 64.60 | 23.67 | 1529.08 | 36193.37 | 6.18 | 5.65 | 0.09 | 8.29 | 3.25 | 3.97 | 5.13 | 61.52 |
| 3 | 1426.36 | 1330.10 | 1950.30 | 60.50 | 117993.15 | 7138585.58 | 186.56 | 170.64 | 6.73 | 246.10 | 174.79 | 171.79 | 245.08 | 0.42 |
| 4 | 31.32 | 56.50 | 64.60 | 132.33 | 8548.52 | 1131225.39 | 4.10 | 7.25 | 0.49 | 7.84 | 0.00 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 81.03 |
| 5 | 559.92 | 600.44 | 821.00 | 78.00 | 64038.00 | 4994964.00 | 73.23 | 77.03 | 3.65 | 102.64 | 48.71 | 55.89 | 74.14 | 38.44 |
| 6 | 446.91 | 479.26 | 655.30 | 67.00 | 43905.10 | 2941641.70 | 58.45 | 61.48 | 2.50 | 82.33 | 48.77 | 52.82 | 71.89 | 14.52 |
| 7 | 301.86 | 2458.44 | 2476.90 | 37.30 | 92388.37 | 3446086.20 | 39.48 | 315.40 | 5.27 | 312.59 | 21.12 | 183.60 | 184.81 | 69.14 |
| 8 | 2133.28 | 261.93 | 2149.30 | 1.90 | 4083.67 | 7758.97 | 279.01 | 33.60 | 0.23 | 280.80 | 126.76 | 15.96 | 127.76 | 119.78 |
| 9 | 2174.20 | 0.00 | 2174.20 | 82.90 | 180241.18 | 14941993.82 | 284.37 | 0.00 | 10.28 | 274.09 | 4.63 | 0.95 | 4.73 | 98.28 |
| 10 | 378.68 | 3084.04 | 3107.20 | 67.90 | 210978.88 | 14325465.95 | 49.53 | 395.66 | 12.03 | 386.72 | 46.70 | 379.30 | 382.16 | 1.19 |
| 11 | 26.62 | 19.34 | 32.90 | 25.50 | 838.95 | 21393.23 | 3.48 | 2.48 | 0.05 | 4.23 | 1.78 | 2.44 | 3.02 | 39.95 |
| 12 | 41.27 | 4.34 | 41.50 | 36.60 | 1518.90 | 55591.74 | 5.40 | 0.56 | 0.09 | 5.51 | 1.18 | 1.46 | 1.88 | 193.69 |
| 13 | 300.70 | 0.00 | 300.70 | 51.67 | 15537.17 | 802805.52 | 39.33 | 0.00 | 0.89 | 38.44 | 10.31 | 0.47 | 10.32 | 272.49 |
| 14 | 8.68 | 28.40 | 29.70 | 86.80 | 2577.96 | 223766.93 | 1.14 | 3.64 | 0.15 | 3.67 | 0.11 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 30.03 |
| 15 | 3.52 | 40.25 | 40.40 | 90.30 | 3648.12 | 329425.24 | 0.46 | 5.16 | 0.21 | 5.39 | 0.52 | 5.45 | 5.47 | 1.52 |
| 16 | 1218.70 | 0.00 | 1218.70 | 141.20 | 172080.44 | 24297758.13 | 159.40 | 0.00 | 9.81 | 149.59 | 129.60 | 2.47 | 129.62 | 15.40 |
| 17 | 0.00 | 843.50 | 843.50 | 26.10 | 22015.35 | 574600.64 | 0.00 | 108.21 | 1.26 | 109.47 | 0.46 | 223.61 | 223.61 | 51.04 |
| $\Sigma$ | 9589.76 | 9776.58 |  |  | J [(k/in)*ft2] | 83600625.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3: Total shear into each frame

To check the torsional shear, an equilibrium check has been performed. $\Sigma\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}} * \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=$ $(107.63 * 4.41)+(23.67 * 0.09)+(60.50 * 6.73)+\ldots(141.20 * 9.81) *(26.10 * 1.26)=4766.188 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$. As previously stated when comparing center of rigidity and center of mass, the angled frame members throw off the total shear into each frame. Several frames, including frame 3,10, and 15 have percent error of less than $2 \%$, whereas frames 12 and 13 have percent error of more than $100 \%$. For lateral spot checks in this report, Frame 10 will be analyzed due to the similarity between the hand calculations and RAM.

### 8.2.5 Wind Load Comparisons

The wind load calculations from notebook submission A have been revised and are shown below.

|  | z (ft) | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}$ (psf) | $\mathrm{p}_{\text {winward }}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\text {leeward }}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\text {roof }}$ | Trib Height | Trib Weight | Story Force |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ground | 18 | 17.86 | 11.93 | -14.15 |  | 12.75 | 380 | 126.37 |
| 1 | 43.5 | 24.36 | 16.28 | -14.15 |  | 20.085 | 380 | 232.23 |
| 2 | 58.17 | 26.40 | 17.64 | -14.15 |  | 14.67 | 380 | 177.23 |
| 3 | 72.84 | 28.24 | 18.87 | -14.15 |  | 14.67 | 380 | 184.07 |
| 4 | 87.51 | 29.85 | 19.94 | -14.15 |  | 14.67 | 380 | 190.04 |
| 5 | 102.18 | 31.19 | 20.84 | -14.15 |  | 14.67 | 380 | 195.05 |
| Penthouse | 116.85 | 32.34 | 21.61 | -14.15 |  | 17.25 | 380 | 234.39 |
| Roof (0'-68.33) | 136.67 | 33.89 | 22.64 | -14.15 | -25.931 | 9.915 | 380 | 138.63 |
| Roof (68.33-136.67') | 136.67 | 33.89 |  |  | -24.818 | 9.915 | 380 |  |
| Roof (136.67-273.33') | 136.67 | 33.89 |  |  | -14.479 | 9.915 | 380 |  |
| Roof (> 273.33') | 136.67 | 33.89 |  |  | -9.146 | 9.915 | 380 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base Shear | 1478.02 |

Table 4: Wind loads from Notebook Submission A
As stated previously, Case 1 (Wind north-south and east-west) will be used to validate the wind loads. The tables below compare wind in the north-south direction and wind in the east-west direction.

|  |  | Hand Calculations | RAM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | Height | Fx | Fy | \% error |
| Roof | 136.67 | 138.63 | 128.75 | 7.13 |
| Penthouse | 116.85 | 234.39 | 220.39 | 5.97 |
| 5th Floor | 102.18 | 195.05 | 182.71 | 6.33 |
| 4th Floor | 87.51 | 190.04 | 177.97 | 6.35 |
| 3rd Floor | 72.84 | 184.07 | 172.61 | 6.23 |
| 2nd Floor | 57.17 | 177.23 | 166.38 | 6.12 |
| 1st Floor | 43.5 | 232.23 | 273.85 | 17.92 |
| Ground | 18 | 126.37 | 77.2 | 38.91 |
|  | Base Shear | 1478.01 | 1399.86 | 5.29 |

Table 5: Wind loads in the north-south direction

| Level | Height | Fx | Fx | $\%$ error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roof | 136.67 | 93.88 | 56.19 | 40.147 |
| Penthouse | 116.85 | 158.73 | 95.9 | 39.583 |
| 5th Floor | 102.18 | 132.09 | 79.11 | 40.109 |
| 4th Floor | 87.51 | 128.7 | 76.66 | 40.435 |
| 3rd Floor | 72.84 | 124.65 | 74.68 | 40.088 |
| 2nd Floor | 57.17 | 120.025 | 83.62 | 30.331 |
| 1st Floor | 43.5 | 157.23 | 138.94 | 11.633 |
| Ground | 18 | 85.58 | 94.9 | 10.89 |
|  | Base Shear | 1000.885 | 700 | 30.062 |

Table 6: Wind loads in the east-west direction

The hand calculations for the wind in the north-south direction are fairly accurate compared to the RAM model. In the east-west direction however, it is off by about $30-40 \%$ at each level. To determine the wind loads for this building, a rectangle was drawn around the buildings largest dimensions, as shown in Appendix A. It makes sense that wind in the north-south direction will be more accurate as the building's actual east-west dimension spans the 380', resulting in more accurate surface area for the north-south wind to apply to the building. However, the dimensions in the north-south direction do not span the whole 245'. This also explains why the hand calculations are larger than the RAM loads, as the wind loads were being applied to a larger surface area than the building's actual dimensions.

### 8.2.6 Seismic Load Comparisons

|  |  | Hand Calculations | RAM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | Height | Fx | Fx | $\%$ error |
| Roof | 136.67 | 480.92 | 435 | 9.6503 |
| Penthouse | 116.85 | 290.52 | 213 | 26.742 |
| 5th Floor | 102.18 | 176.93 | 182 | 2.8825 |
| 4th Floor | 87.51 | 147.93 | 152 | 3.0149 |
| 3rd Floor | 72.84 | 119.68 | 123 | 3.1668 |
| 2nd Floor | 57.17 | 109.19 | 130 | 18.711 |
| 1st Floor | 43.5 | 102.39 | 94.9 | 7.3445 |
| Ground | 18 | 40.77 | 8.56 | 79.004 |
|  | Base Shear | 1468.33 | 1338 | 8.857 |

Table 7: Seismic Loads

The hand calculation and RAM seismic loads are fairly accurate, thus validating the seismic loads.

### 8.3 Lateral System Checks

### 8.3.1 Lateral Spot Checks

As stated previously, Frame 10 will be used for lateral spot checks due to the accuracy between hand calculations and RAM. The controlling load case is $1.2 \mathrm{D}+0.5 \mathrm{~L}+1.0 \mathrm{~W}$.

Lateral Monber Spot Checks

$$
D=2190 \text { PLF, } L=3000 \text { PL }
$$



$$
\text { Trib width }=30^{\circ}
$$

Controllyy Load case: $1.2 D+0.5 L+1.0 \mathrm{~W}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Dead }=73 \mathrm{PSF} \\
& \text { Live }=100 \mathrm{PSF} \\
& \text { Wind }=382.16 \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned}
$$

W $24+68$ Bean Check
From SAP 2000 mover:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{v}=389.4^{1 k} \\
& V_{v}=24.2^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table 3-2: $\varphi M_{n}=664^{1 k}>389: 4^{1 k} \therefore c k$

$$
\sigma V_{n}=295^{k}>24.2^{k} \therefore o k
$$

W $12 \times 152$ Bruce check
From SAp 2000 model:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{v}=262^{k} \\
& M_{v}=78.2^{1 k}
\end{aligned}
$$

unbraced length $=30^{\circ}$


$$
O M_{n}=911^{1 k}>M_{V}=78.2^{1 k}: 0 \mathrm{~K}
$$

W $14 \times 159$ Colum check
From SAP 2000 Model:

$$
P u=1060^{\mathrm{k}}
$$

From table 4-1: $\partial P_{n}=1350^{k}>P_{v}=1060^{k}:$ :ck
unbraced last: $26^{\prime}$

### 8.3.2 Story Drift

The allowable story drift at each level is $\mathrm{h} / 400$. In RAM, the drift ratio provides a ration of the allowable drift per foot. Therefore, the allowable drift ratio is $\mathrm{h} / 400=1 / 400=0.0025$. Figure 7 below shows the story drift of each load combination at the roof at the corner of the building, where drift is expected to control. Three of the load combinations (W14, W17, and W23) do not pass as the ratios are greater than 0.0025 . The largest ratio is 0.0030 , which is $20 \%$ greater than the allowable. One explanation for this could be the frames were not modeled correctly in RAM (i.e. wrong size assigned, did not assign all bracing). Further investigation will be done to determine why drift is not passing.

| Story | LdC | Displacement |  | Story Drift |  | Drift Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | Y | X | Y | X | Y |
|  |  | in | in | in | in |  |  |
| Roof | D | -0.0865 | 0.0305 | -0.0232 | 0.0052 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 |
|  | Lp | -0.0982 | 0.0589 | -0.0251 | 0.0118 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 |
|  | W13 | 0.7209 | -0.3029 | 0.0778 | -0.0744 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 |
|  | W14 | 0.4542 | 3.2742 | 0.1334 | 0.6387 | 0.0006 | 0.0027 |
|  | W15 | 0.4055 | -0.5286 | 0.0438 | -0.1064 | 0.0002 | 0.0004 |
|  | W16 | 0.6759 | 0.0743 | 0.0729 | -0.0052 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 |
|  | W17 | 0.9244 | 3.8109 | 0.1665 | 0.7137 | 0.0007 | 0.0030 |
|  | W18 | -0.2431 | 1.1003 | 0.0335 | 0.2443 | 0.0001 | 0.0010 |
|  | W19 | 0.8813 | 2.2285 | 0.1584 | 0.4232 | 0.0007 | 0.0018 |
|  | W20 | 0.2001 | -2.6828 | -0.0417 | -0.5348 | 0.0002 | 0.0022 |
|  | W21 | 0.1218 | 0.4288 | 0.0580 | 0.1034 | 0.0002 | 0.0004 |
|  | W22 | 1.2002 | 2.9139 | 0.1796 | 0.5314 | 0.0008 | 0.0022 |
|  | W23 | -0.3892 | -3.2546 | -0.0920 | -0.6151 | 0.0004 | 0.0026 |
|  | W24 | 0.6892 | -0.7695 | 0.0295 | -0.1872 | 0.0001 | 0.0008 |
|  | E5 | 1.9964 | -0.1487 | 0.3100 | -0.0906 | 0.0013 | 0.0004 |
|  | E6 | 2.2724 | 0.5582 | 0.3530 | 0.0601 | 0.0015 | 0.0003 |
|  | E7 | 0.6456 | 3.9289 | 0.1800 | 0.8842 | 0.0008 | 0.0037 |
|  | E8 | 0.0958 | 2.5109 | 0.0934 | 0.5803 | 0.0004 | 0.0024 |

Figure 7: Story Drift

### 8.3.3 RAM Member Code Check

Figure 8 shows the member code check that RAM offers. The darker the color, the lower the interaction; the lighter the color, the higher the interaction (i.e. $<0.4$ is blue, $<0.6$ green, $<0.8$ yellow). Any member above an interaction of 1.0 is red. Several members in the model do not pass, all of which are braced members in the auditorium. Similar to story drift, further investigation will need to be done to determine why these members are not passing for strength.


Figure 8: RAM member code check

## Appendix A- Wind Load Calculation

This diagram shows the orientation of the direction that the wind load was applied. Due to the irregular shape of the building, the buildings largest dimensions were used to yield a more conservative analysis.


## Appendix B- Cost Estimate

## Composite Framing

| Group | Phase | Description | Takeoff Quantity | Material Price | Material Amount | Total Cost/Unit | Total Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3000.000 |  | CONCRETE |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3220.050 | Rebar: Wiremesh |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Wiremesh - Walks 6x6 6/6 | 630.00 sf | 0.09 /sf | 58 | 0.09 /sf | 58 |
|  | 3310.260 | Conc: Suspended Slab |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Susp Slab Conc 3500 psi | 6.32 cy | 60.06 /cy | 391 | 61.87 lcy | 391 |
| 5000.000 |  | METALS |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5090.030 | Fastener: Metal Welds |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Shear Studs At Beams | 118.00 ea | 7.20 /ea | 850 | 7.20 /ea | 850 |
|  | 5121.010 | Structural: W Shapes |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | W Shape W $12 \times 19$ | 63.00 If | 1,200.00 /ton | 718 | 11.40 hf | 718 |
|  |  | W Shape W $14 \times 22$ | 21.00 If | 1,200.00 Aton | 277 | 13.20 /f | 277 |
|  |  | W Shape W $21 \times 44$ | 30.00 If | 1,200.00 Aton | 792 | 26.40 nf | 792 |
|  |  | W Shape W $21 \times 50$ | 30.00 If | 1,200.00 Aton | 900 | 30.00 hf | 900 |
|  | 5310.010 | Structural: Steel Deck |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Deck Steel 3" Deep | 630.00 sf | 1.20 /sf | 756 | 1.20 /sf | 756 |

Non-Composite Framing

| Group | Phase | Description | Takeoff Quantity | Material Price | Material Amount | Total Cost/Unit | Total Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3000.000 |  | CONCRETE |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3110.500 | Forms: Beams |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Beam Bottom Form | 681.00 sf | 0.82 /sf | 572 | 0.84 /sf | 572 |
|  | 3310.260 | Conc: Suspended Slab |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Susp Slab Conc 3500 psi | 11.67 cy | 60.06 /cy | 722 | 61.86 /cy | 722 |
| 5000.000 |  | METALS |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5121.010 | Structural: W Shapes |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | W Shape W 14x30 | 84.00 If | 1,200.00 Aton | 1,512 | 18.00 Mf | 1,512 |
| - |  | W Shape W $24 \times 55$ | 60.00 If | 1,200.00 Aton | 1,980 | 33.00 /f | 1,980 |

One- Way Slab

| Group | Phase | Description | Takeoff Quantity | Material Price | Material Amount | Total Cost/Unit | Total Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3000.000 |  | CONCRETE |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3110.500 | Forms: Beams |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Beam Bottom Form | 180.00 sf | 0.82 /sf | 151 | 0.84 /sf | 151 |
|  |  | Beam Bottom Form | 1,752.00 sf | 0.82 /sf | 1,473 | 0.84 /sf | 1,473 |
|  | 3210.700 | Rebar: Beams |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Beam Rebar\#3 | 288.00 If | 528.00 ton | 30 | 0.10 /ff | 30 |
|  |  | Beam Rebar \#5 | 630.00 If | 528.00 ton | 178 | 0.28 /f | 178 |
|  |  | Beam Rebar \#6 | 630.00 If | 528.00 ton | 257 | 0.41 lf | 257 |
|  |  | Beam Rebar\#9 | 120.00 If | 528.00 ton | 111 | 0.93 lf | 111 |
|  | 3310.260 | Conc: Suspended Slab |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Susp Slab Conc 3500 psi | 21.40 cy | 60.06 /cy | 1,324 | 61.86 /cy | 1,324 |
|  | 3310.340 | Conc: Beams |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  | Beam Conc 3500 psi | 3.75 cy | 60.06 /cy | 232 | 61.87 /cy | 232 |

Hollow Core Plank on Wide Flanges

| Group | Phase | Description | Takeoff Quantity | Material Price | Material Amount | Total Cost/Unit | Total Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3000.000 |  | CONCRETE |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3110.500 | Forms: Beams |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Beam Bottom Form | 681.00 sf | 0.82 /sf | 572 | 0.84 /sf | 572 |
|  | 3310.420 | Conc: Waffle Slab |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Waffle Slab Conc 3500 psi | 11.67 cy | 60.06 /cy | 722 | 61.86 /cy | 722 |
| 5000.000 |  | METALS |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5121.010 | Structural: W Shapes |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | W Shape W $16 \times 31$ | 63.00 If | 1,200.00 fton | 1,172 | 18.60 lf | 1,172 |
| , |  | W Shape W $21 \times 57$ | 60.00 If | 1,200.00 Aton | 2,052 | 34.20 /f | 2,052 |

